

A publication of Southeast Christian Church *Circulation: 13,863*

Friday November 28, 1997

Documentary video details child sex abuse by Dr. Kinsey

By Ninie O'Hara

Publisher

Editor's note: What follows is the second in a four-part series about the efforts of Dr. Judith Reisman and an organization called RSVP America to discredit the work of sex researcher Dr. Alfred Kinsey, and to remove his influence from American society.

Actor Efrem Zimbalist, Jr. speaks with deep-voiced, silver-haired authority.

"What would you think if you learned that some of the most important scientific research of the century may have been based on fraud?" he asks, as the narrator of a 30-minute video called *Dr. Kinsey and the Children of Table 34.* "Or if not fraud, criminal experimentation on children funded by tax dollars?"

Zimbalist, who played the part of sex researcher Dr. Alfred Kinsey in the movie *The Chapman Report*, continues: "What would you think if you learned that the results of that research have exerted a profound influence on our society today ranging from how we deal with sex offenders to how, when and what our children are taught about sex...not to mention why."

The Children of Table 34 video was produced by the Family Research Council, and is a part of the materials the Louisville-based organization called RSVP America distributes in its campaign seeking a congressional investigation of the Kinsey Sex Institute at Indiana University at Bloomington.

It was Judith Reisman, Ph.D. who first sounded an alarm about "the children of Table 34" when she came across the table in Dr. Kinsey's book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, back in 1977. She presented her findings in 1981 to the World Congress of Sexology in Jerusalem, disclosing what she called "Dr. Kinsey's use of the sexual abuse of children, and the systematic molestation of 317 children in his research."

Dr. Reisman was in Louisville last month to speak on the subject of Dr. Kinsey to the Louisville Rotary Club. She said then that she had been "dumfounded" when she first saw the table.

> please see KINSEY REPORT page A15

For More Information on R.S.V.P Campaign Call, Fax or Write: R.S.V.P. America PO Box 1136 • Crestwood, KY 40014 **1-800-287-RSVP** Fax: (502) 241-1552

Southeast Christian Church the southeast outlook Friday, November 28, 1997

Kinsey Report is not based on science, but child abuse

Continued from page A1

"I couldn't believe such a thing was in a scholarly textbook," she rsaid.

r Table 34, and others like it on surrounding pages in the Sexual Behavior in the Human Male text, lists the numbers of orgasms experienced by children, ranging in age from 2 months to 10 years old, and the time it took to elicit the responses. One listing shows an 11-month-old infant with a citing of 14 orgasms in a 38iminute period. Another shows a 4-year-old with 26 orgasms in a 24-hour period.

"How did they know a 2month-old baby did or did not have an orgasm?" Dr. Reisman asked incredulously. "Will somebody tell me how you're supposed to determine that? Who recorded 26 orgasms for a 4year-old child? Who did that to those children and who authorized that it be done? And why, in the name of common sense. didn't somebody ask these questions 40 years ago?"

The questions are being asked now, she said, because for the past half century in America the definition of "normal childhood

sexual development" taught at all academic levels has been based on research the Kinsey Institute now admits was conducted by known pedophiles. The data contained in Dr. Kinsey's studies is the only information available about sexuality in children, she pointed out, since engaging in sexual behavior with children was, in 1948, and still is, a crime. As Dr. John Court, a professor

at the Fuller Graduate School of Psychology, pointed out in the video: "Getting a pedophile to go out and do experiments on children is not the proper way to learn about what is normal."

Dr. Reisman said it more succinctly to the Rotary Club audience: "Kinsey's definition of 'normal childhood sexual development' is wrong. And the methods used to get the information to formulate the definition were criminal."

Pat Trueman, former U.S. Attorney for the Child Exploitation and Obscenity Unit of the United States Justice Department agreed. Featured on the video, Trueman said that he believes someone should be prosecuted for the acts described in Dr. Kinsey's texts.

"What is described in those

tables involved acts to which no child could grant informed consent and for which no parent or guardian could provide consent on behalf of the child," he said. "Therefore we're talking about the sexual abuse of chil-

dren, and that's a criminal act." On page 176 of

Kinsey's Sexual Behavior in the Human Male is Table 31, which lists orgasms in preadolescent boys. Below the table is the notation that the responses were "based on actual observation of 317 males." The notation explains that "better data on pre-ado-

lescent climax comes from the histories of adult males who have had sexual contacts with younger boys...(and) nine of our adult male subjects have observed (pre-adolescent) orgasm. Some of these adults are technically trained persons who have kept diaries or other records which have been put at our disposal ... "

In December of 1995, nearly 50 years after Kinsey's first report. the Indiana institute that Kinsey founded to carry on his work acknowledged that the researcher had made statements in it that

were not true.

The "nine observers," some of whom were "technically trained" did not exist, the institute admitted. Those observers, CONTRA DEPORT who found that children as young as 5 months old were capable of multiple orgasms -and when "uninhibited," were "aggressive in seeking" sexturned out to be a single pedo-

phile who claimed to have had sex, with 317 boys.

Meanwhile, the Kinsey Institute steadfastly maintains that even though Dr. Kinsey lied, there is no current data that refutes the data provided by his lone pedophile "researcher."

"There's nothing out there that proves Kinsey was wrong," said Kinsey Institute Director John --including one murderer that we Bancroft in a Reader's Digest story in April of this year. But he admitted that it was questionable whether "Dr. Kinsey should have paid as much attention to that man's data as he did."

Dr. Paul Gebhard, who coauthored Sexuality and the Human Male, readily admitted that some of the research team's methods-particularly their adherence to a code of strict confidentiality-were at best immoral and at worst illegal.

"...an example of criminality was the refusal to cooperate with the authorities in apprehending a pedophile we had interviewed who was being sought for a sex murder," he said in an interview with sex researchers Masters and Johnson in 1977.

Dr. Gordon Muir, M.D., a research scientist who has been outspoken in his demand for an investigation of the Kinsey Institute, has pointed out repeatedly that time is running out in the crusade to find out what actually happened to the children in Table 34.

"Dr. Kinsey used data from the rape of children." he said on the video. "He concealed the full nature of his experiments and information on who performed the experiments. He extrapolated his experiments to normal childhood development. He shielded criminals involved in his work.

know of from police. If that's not enough information to show the need for an investigation. I don't know what else I could tell you."

Everywhere Dr. Reisman goes in her speaking engagements around the country, she stresses the need to expose what happened to the children who were victims of Kinsey's sexual experiments. She pointed out to the Louisville Rotary Club that until Dr. Kinsey's research is discredited, it will remain the basis for public policy.

"Let's say Mrs. Smith, a teacher from Ohio, goes in for her three units of training in human sexuality because she has to teach AIDS prevention to her students." she said. "If she goes to an accredited sex educator, she will be trained in the Kinsey model because that is the only model that exists, the only one she can be trained in. And Mrs. Smith will have to set aside whatever value system and moral structure she had before, because she has been told that 'science has proven' that children are sexual from birth and 'science has proven' that they are capable of orgasms from birth. She'll believe what she's been told, too, because of society's reverence for 'science.' And she will never know that Kinsey's 'science' was nothing more than a single pedophile molesting children."